

Glaucoma Society

United Kingdom Éire

.....



Ophthalmic Service Guidance Joint RCOphth and UKEGS Glaucoma Risk Stratification Tool

July 2020

.....

18 Stephenson Way, London, NW1 2HD, T. 02037705322contact@rcophth.ac.uk@rcophth.ac.uk

The Royal College of Ophthalmologists 2020 All rights reserved. For permission to reproduce any of the content contained herein please contact <u>contact@rcophth.ac.uk</u>

Contents

| Section | | |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
| 2 | Risk Stratification Tool | 3 |
| 3 | References | 5 |
| 4 | Appendix 1: Examples of cases stratified by Glauc-Strat-Fast | 6 |
| 5 | Appendix 2: Glauc-Strat Fast Team, Development History and | |
| Intellectual Property | | |

.....

Date of review: July 2022

1 Introduction

There is a currently a clear need and requirement for a simple risk and complexity stratification tool for use in NHS delivered and NHS funded outpatient clinics treating glaucoma patients. This need has several contextual drivers which have come together in recent times to make such a stratification tool important. These are familiar to all clinicians and have been summarised in a series of actions and publications within the NHS in recent times – e.g. High Impact Interventions program Actions 2 and 3, HSIB report on glaucoma follow up and the NHS Long Term Plan ambitions for outpatient reform, often simply reduced to a target to transfer 30% of outpatient activity into the community. COVID -19 and its effects on the ability to deliver appropriate care further strengthens the need. Documenting risk and complexity and subsequently matching these with appropriate clinical skill sets is not a new concept, as both the NICE glaucoma guidelines and the RCOphth glaucoma commissioning guidelines have done this within their recommendations.

In a purely clinical context a decision as to risk and complexity is taken every time a clinician sees a patient in outpatients and either discharges them or arranges a follow up appointment at a specific interval. This goes further as most units have established virtual, technician, nurse or optometrist run clinics with various levels of skills, supervision and governance to look after patients. It is always difficult to translate 'clinical acumen' into a formalised evidence based process, but clinical acumen is fundamentally informed and governed by evidence and so in principle there is no fundamental conflict in such a process as long as the degrees of ambiguity and uncertainty in any stratification process are acknowledged.

2 Risk Stratification Tool

The purpose of identifying, treating and monitoring glaucoma is to preserve a sighted lifetime. In the face of high demand and unmet need due to insufficient capacity, and the consequent risk of avoidable blindness from delays in glaucoma care, an agreed mechanism for identification of people at highest risk of sight loss is desirable. The document describes a clinical tool for classification of patients with glaucoma into strata of risk for significant future sight loss and an estimate of resource requirement for managing the patient. The tool was developed collaboratively between the RCOphth and UKEGS and acknowledges diagnosis, stage of disease, complexity of disease, rate of disease progression, life expectancy, ocular and systemic comorbidities, dependency and socio-economic deprivation. Examples of the use of the tool are provided in Appendix 1. An understanding of individual risk stratification supports service design and delivery by allowing the prioritisation of care and the use of an appropriate skill mix.

The approach is based on the 'glauc-strat' glaucoma visual field and clinical staging system (Appendix 2) initially developed by Shah et al., with adjustments to facilitate its use in NHS paper based and/or Electronic Medical Record (EMR) based glaucoma services with various levels of (sub-)specialisation. A Red-Amber-Green (RAG) table with nine subdivisions (1-3 within each band) forms the basis of the tool which is further augmented by red flag indicators and Plus (+) factors. Depending on circumstances and available resources, the tool can be used in its full form or reduced to a basic RAG system. The eye-level classification should be used to stratify patients according to the worse eye which has remaining useful vision, for which the patient is willing to undergo treatment to retain sight.

GLAUC-STRAT-FAST

| Advanced 1° Open Angle / Angle / Angle-Closure Glaucoma Advanced 2° Glaucoma Developmental Glaucoma Advanced Glaucoma + high IOP >8 dB Red Flag (Consider as RED) Advanced 1° Open Angle / Angle-Closure Glaucoma Advanced 2° Glaucoma (reliable visual field changes) >8 dB >8 dB >>2dB VF change in a single y • Only eye A1 A2 A3 Advanced 1° Open Angle Glaucoma (<3 drops) Aga 4-8 dB Plus (+) Factors Glaucoma Moderate 1° Open Angle Glaucoma (<3 drops) Angle Glaucoma (3 or more drops) Moderate 1° Angle- Closure Glaucoma Moderate 2° Glaucoma A Cultar surface cicatricial dise (Courre / 2°) Ophthalmic Co-morbidity Ophthalmic Co-morbidity G1 G2 G3 Cultar surface cicatricial dise (Siaucoma Significan retinal disease (Extremes of Axial length Previous glaucoma surgery Systemic / Social Factors Moderate 1° Open Angle Untreated 1° OHT Treated 1° OHT 2° OHT <4 dB Systemic / Social Factors 0HT '' Angle Closure Suspect 1° Angle Closure 1° Angle Closure <4 dB Systemic / Social Factors | D1 | | P2 | | D2 | | | | |
|---|----|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| A1 A2 A3 Moderate 1° Open Angle Glaucoma (<3 drops) | n | Advanced 1º Open Angle / Angle-Closure Glaucoma | Advanced 2º Glaucoma Developmental Glaucoma | | Advanced Glaucoma + high IOP Surgical Glaucoma (peri-op) Progressive Glaucoma (reliable visual field changes) | | >8 dB | Red Flag (Consider as RI >2dB VF change in a sing Only eye Unexplained visual acuit Current glaucoma drug r IOP >40 mmHg at any st Neuro-ophthalmic tumo | D) le year y loss eaction age ur |
| G1 G2 G3 • Extremes of Axial length • Previous glaucoma surgery Moderate 1° Open Angle Untreated 1° OHT Treated 1° OHT 2° OHT <4 dB | Д | 1 Moderate 1º Open Angle Glaucoma (<3 drops) Early 1º Angle Closure Glaucoma Early 2º Glaucoma (<4dB) | A2 Moderate 1º Open Angle Glaucoma (3 or more drops) Moderate 1º Angle- Closure Glaucoma | | 3 Moderate 2º Glaucoma | | 4-8 dB + d B + fioss <5° from fixation or Angle- Closure / 2° Glaucoma | Plus (+) Factors Ophthalmic Co-morbidity Orbital /Plastic /Neuro-O Tumour Ocular surface cicatricial Multiple drop reactions Keratoconus / thin corne Uveitis Significant retinal diseas | phth / disease a (<490) e |
| Glaucoma Suspect Early 1º Open Angle Needs transport | G | 1 Moderate 1º Open Angle Untreated 1º OHT Glaucoma Suspect | G2 Treated 1° OHT 1° Angle Closure Suspect | | 3 2° OHT 1° Angle Closure Early 1° Open Angle | | <4 dB | Extremes of Axial length Previous glaucoma surgery Systemic / Social Factors Relevant systemic conditions Mental / physical disability Socio-economic deprivation Needs transport | ry tions ity tion |

N.B Intellectual property rights for the Glauc-Strat-Fast risk stratification tool are owned by Professor Peter Shah at the Birmingham Institute for Glaucoma Research.

Notes:

- Clinical judgement remains paramount and each patient should be risk assessed at each monitoring visit as recommended by NICE (NG 81)
- Progression
 - A visual field progression rate sufficiently rapid to threaten sight within the patient's expected lifetime should prompt discussion with the patient and action as appropriate, e.g. a woman aged 55y with a current MD of -5dB and a progression rate of 1dB/y loss would reach -20dB loss by the age of 70y, with a remaining life expectancy of 18y, while a man of 85y with a -5dB defect progressing at 1.5dB/y would reach -11dB within his remaining expected lifetime (average 6 years remaining until death at 91y).
 - Red Flag progression of >2dB loss in any single year indicates a high degree of urgency
 - Optic disc and RNFL features should be considered as is clinically appropriate
- Open Angles
 - Open Angle Glaucoma = NICE Chronic Open Angle Glaucoma (inc. PXF and PDS) and includes patients with and without elevated IOP (POAG & NTG)
 - 20 OHT = Uveitis, trauma, post-vitrectomy (oil) etc. without field or disc damage
- Occludable Angles

- o 10 Angle Closure Suspect = Occludable angles with no PAS or high IOP
- 10 Angle Closure = Occludable angle with PAS and or high IOP

- Early 10 Angle Closure Glaucoma as above with disc and/or field changes
- Untreated angle closure suspects such as those who decline PI should remain in G2
- If not genuinely occludable (>180o) patients to be discharged from monitoring
- Successfully treated, resolved 10 Angle Closure and Suspects to be discharged from monitoring
- General
 - Ophthalmic and systemic co-pathology is relevant because a large proportion of patients in the UK are still seen in relatively 'general' clinic settings where the co-pathology will be managed by their treating clinician with a resultant increase in time and required training
 - Transport is used as a proxy indicator of dependency and to reflect the practical challenges encountered in dealing with these patients
 - Mental and physical disability cover a broad range, including dementia and immobility.

3 References

- 'Glauc-Strat-Fast': Development and implementation of a real-world glaucoma risk stratification tool. Shah et al (in preparation 2020).
- NICE Clinical Guideline NG81, Glaucoma: diagnosis and management. 2017, https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng81 (accessed 12 May 2020)
- UK Office for National Statistics (ONS) life expectancy calculator <u>https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/</u> <u>healthandlifeexpectancies/articles/whatismylifeexpectancyandhowmightitchang</u> <u>e/2017-12-01</u> (accessed 12 May 2020)

4 Appendix 1: Examples of cases stratified by Glauc-Strat-Fast

Based on Mean Deviation (MD) visual field defect (dB) in worse eye.

[1] Male age 78. Good health.

- MD -2.48 dB
- Early POAG R+L.
- No red flags.
- No Ophthalmic or Systemic factors.



[2] <u>Female age 63. Bilateral panuveitis and CMO. Highest IOPs 54mmHg R+L. Sarcoidosis.</u> <u>T2 DM.</u>

| ٠ | MD -6.71 dB | | | - Amber |
|---|-------------|--|--|---------|
| | | | | |

- Moderate SOAG R+L.
 A3
- Red flag for highest IOP >40 mmHg.
- Ophthalmic (Uveitis / CMO) & Systemic factors (Sarcoid / DM).

- A3 F+

- A3 F+ / O+ / S+



[3] <u>Female age 57. Fit. R+L PACG. Short AXLs – 19.5mm. R+L PIs. Multiple severe drop allergies.</u>

| • | MD -10.77 dB | - Red |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|
| • | Advanced PACG R+L. | - R1 |
| • | Red flag for severe drop allergies. | - R1 F+ |

- Green

- G3

- G3 F-

- G3 F- / O- / S-

 Ophthalmic (AXL 19.55) but no Systemic factors. O+ / S-



.

5 Appendix 2: Glauc-Strat Fast Team, Development History and Intellectual Property

- The Glauc-Strat project was a 4-year research program to develop and implement a glaucoma staging tool that acts to stratify the risk of progressive loss of vision and the level of resource needed.
- The project is based in Birmingham, UK and is lead by Professor Peter Shah through the Birmingham Institute for Glaucoma Research in the Institute of Translational Medicine at University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Trust.
- The core research team includes Mr Imran Masood, Ms Freda Sii, Prof Graham Lee, Mr Jim Kirwan and Mr Simon Dulku.
- UK and international collaborators on the project include:

| Mr Joe Abbott UK | Prof Alastair Denniston UK | Mr Jim Kirwan UK | Mr Alan Rotchford UK |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dr Ashish Agar Aus | Mr Simon Dulku UK | Dr Mitchell Lawler Aus | Prof Pete Shah UK |
| Mr Faisal Ahmed UK | Prof Paul Foster UK | Prof Graham Lee Aus | Mr Tarun Sharma UK |
| Ms Nishani Amerasinghe UK | Prof Gus Gazzard UK | Mr Alastair Lockwood UK | Ms Freda Sii UK |
| Prof Augusto Azuara-Blanco UK | Prof Ivan Goldberg Aus | Prof Keith Martin Aus | Mr John Somner UK |
| Mr Imad Badran UK | Prof Paul Healey Aus | Mr Imran Masood UK | Prof George Spaeth USA |
| Prof Philip Bloom UK | Prof Roger Hitchings UK | Mr Shabbir Mohamed UK | Prof John Sparrow UK |
| Prof Rupert Bourne UK | Dr John Horsburgh Aus | Prof Tony Molteno NZ | Mr Andrew Tatham UK |
| Mr Mike Burdon UK | Mr Wojciech Karwatowski UK | Dr Desiree Murray Trinidad | Ms Marie Tsaloumas UK |
| Dr Jenn Burr UK | Prof Peng Khaw UK | Dr Katia Papastavrou Cyprus | Prof Robert Weinreb USA |
| Ms Lydia Chang UK | Mr Anthony Khawaja UK | Mr Heiko Philippin Tanz / Ger | Prof Andrew White Aus |
| Prof David Crabb UK | Prof Anthony King UK | Dr Ioanna Psalti UK | Mr Richard Wormald UK |
| Prof Jon Crowston Singapore | | | |
| | | | |

- Between 2015 and 2020 the initial Glauc-Strat concept tool has gone through many iterations using a combination of 1:1 and focus discussion groups within the UK and abroad.
- Between 2017 and 2020 the tool has been implemented and extensively testdriven and refined in Birmingham, UK and Sydney, Australia.
- In 2020 the tool has been further developed and critically peer-reviewed by the UK and Eire (UKEGS) faculty.
- Glauc-Strat Fast is now undergoing further validation studies within the West Midlands region.